

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,008	01/22/2002	Rima M. Nazanda	219.40217X00	7798	
23838 7	590 05/05/2004		EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON			CHAUHAN, ULKA J		
1500 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	•	2676	10	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			4		
	,	Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
. Office Action Summary		10/051,0	008	NAZANDA ET AL.	
		Examine	ər	Art Unit	
		Ulka J. C	Chauhan	2676	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on th	he cover sheet with	the correspondence address	••
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply perly received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. io) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the approximation.	event, however, may a rep atutory minimum of thirty (will expire SIX (6) MONTH oplication to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>09 February 20</i>	004.		
·	·	2b)⊠ This action is			
′=	Since this application is in condition	•		s, prosecution as to the merits is	
-	closed in accordance with the practi	·		•	
Dispositio	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the all lates of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-19 and 21-31</u> is Claim(s) <u>4,11 and 20</u> is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co s/are rejected. I to.			
Application	on Papers				
10) 🖾 1	The specification is objected to by the fine drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected to the placement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or bection to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeyance ired if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	đ
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[:	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in App nents have been re ule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)				
2)	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date	•		Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because a descriptive label does not identify all elements illustrated in the figures (i.e., element 420 is not identified in Fig. 4 as "dispatcher"). Without complete labeling, the claimed invention as illustrated by the figures is not readily understood. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,449,701 to Cho and U.S. Patent No. 6,272,600 to Talbot et al.
- 4. As per claims 1 and 28, Cho teaches a computer system comprising processors 12A-12B, an L2 cache 14, and a memory controller 16 coupled to a memory 26, and a bus 24 for interconnecting the various components of system 10 [Fig. 1]. The memory controller 16 includes a request queue 40 ("receive a plurality of data requests in a particular order"), a control circuit 50 and a data buffer 52 ("buffer mechanism"), coupled to receive information from bus 24 and are coupled to channel control circuits 42A-42B each including a memory queue 48 [c. 5 Il. 53-64 and Fig. 2]. Compare circuitry in request queue 40 sets an issued indication (Iss) to a state indicating that the transaction has been issued ("simultaneously monitor a status of said plurality of data requests") [c. 4-10 and Fig. 2]. Responsive to the data

Art Unit: 2676

being ready, control circuit 50 arbitrates for the data bus 34 and transfers the data to the requesting agent ("output responses to said plurality of data requests") [c. 10 ll. 41-44]. Cho does not expressly teach outputting data "in said particular order". Talbot teaches a computer system in which a plurality of requests/memory addresses 303 are provided in an initial order and presented to a memory subsystem 216 according to a new ordering 311 to minimize waiting in the memory subsystem 216 caused by target addresses' not being available [c. 4 ll. 55-c. 5 ll. 4]. Data are read 324 from the memory subsystem 216 in the optimized order and are then reordered 313 into an order 312 corresponding to the initial request ordering, and returned ("output responses to said plurality of data requests in said particular order") [c. 5 ll. 5-15]. Talbot discloses that because data are returned 322 according to the initial request ordering, entities which request memory operations need not be aware of the order in which requested operations were actually executed by the memory subsystem 216 [c. 5 ll. 11-15]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the memory request reordering as taught by Talbot in combination with Cho's memory controller in order to optimize memory access execution without the memory access requestor being aware of the order in which requested operations were actually executed by the memory controller.

5. As per claim 2, Cho discloses that the control circuit 50 receives an acknowledge signal (Ack_I), a retry signal (Retry), a page inquire signal (Pg_i), a write signal (Wr), a read signal (Rd), and associated data buffer pointers (Ptr), and provides a hit signal (hit) [c. 6 ll. 23-31]. Data buffer 52 is coupled to receive the Ptr and Wr/Rd signals and to receive data from and provide data on the memory channel 44 [c. 6 ll. 37-39].

Page 4

Art Unit: 2676

6. Claims 3, 5-7, 29, 30, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,449,701 to Cho and U.S. Patent No. 6,272,600 to Talbot et al and U.S. Patent No. 5,802,571 to Konigsburg et al.

- 7. As per claims 3, 6, 7, 29, 30, and 31 Cho discloses that the memory controller 16 includes a control circuit 50 and a data buffer 52 [Fig. 2]. Cho does not expressly teach "an age counter section". Talbot discloses that an age of a request is determined by the timestamp associated with the request and that the address reordering unit schedules the oldest request [c. 6]. 11. 56-60 and c. 7 II. 19-22]. Konigsburg teaches a computer system in which a CPU 100 includes time-stamp units 156, that are preferably counters, for tagging requests with the age in which the requests were generated [c. 4 II. 27-45], and an arbitration logic 154 for giving priority to the oldest request [c. 4 II. 27-47]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the age counter as taught by Konigsburg in combination with Cho's memory controller and Talbot's request reordering in order to issue the oldest memory request and to maximize memory bandwidth while minimizing the amount of time that requests must wait to be serviced.
- 8. As per claim 5, Cho discloses that if a match is detected, control circuit 50 asserts a hit signal to channel control circuit 42, and the channel control circuit 42 keeps the page open in response to the hit signal. In addition to asserting the hit signal, control circuit 50 sets the priority indication (Pr) to indicate high priority in each entry for which a match is detected [c. 9 ll. 36-47].
- 9. Claims 15, 16, 17, 18, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,272,600 to Talbot et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,008 Page 5

Art Unit: 2676

As per claims 15-17, and 24, Talbot teaches a multiple-processor systems 201 and 202 10. [Figs. 2A, 2B] in which a plurality of requests/memory addresses 303 are provided in an initial order and presented to a memory subsystem 216 according to a new ordering 311 to minimize waiting in the memory subsystem 216 caused by target addresses' not being available [c. 4 ll. 55c. 5 ll. 4], and returned data are reordered 313 into an order 312 corresponding to the initial request ordering [c. 5 ll. 5-15]. Talbot discloses that an age of a request is determined by the timestamp associated with the request and that the address reordering unit schedules the oldest request [c. 6 ll. 56-60 and c. 7 ll. 19-22]. Talbot discloses that because data are returned 322 according to the initial request ordering, entities which request memory operations need not be aware of the order in which requested operations were actually executed by the memory subsystem 216 [c. 5 ll. 11-15]. Talbot does not expressly teach "graphics data requests". It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have implemented Talbot's system within a graphics processing system for accessing graphics data in order to maximize memory bandwidth while minimizing the amount of time that graphics data requests must wait to be serviced.

- 11. As per claim 18, Talbot discloses that a bus 111 sends memory requests to the input end 705 of a shift buffer called the request buffer 703 that has a number of elements that can each store one request that is stored as a "type" 707, an "ID" 709, a "bank" 711, and a "valid" bit 713 that tells whether that element currently contains a request [c. 8 11. 59-c. 9 11. 5].
- 12. Claims 19, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,272,600 to Talbot et al and U.S. Patent No. 5,802,571 to Konigsburg et al.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2676

13. As per claims 19, 22, 23, 26, and 27, Talbot discloses that the request buffer 703 is constructed as a shift register, with a younger end 705 and an opposite, older end 706, and that the age of entry of requests into the buffer 703 necessarily increases from the younger end 705 toward the older end 706 [c. 9 ll. 58-62]. Talbot does not expressly teach "an age counter section". Konigsburg teaches a computer system in which a CPU 100 includes time-stamp units 156, that are preferably counters, for tagging requests with the age in which the requests were generated [c. 4 ll. 27-45], and an arbitration logic 154 for giving priority to the oldest request [c. 4 ll. 27-47]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the age counter as taught by Konigsburg in combination with Talbot's invention 701 in order to easily determine and issue the oldest memory request and to maximize memory bandwidth while minimizing the amount of time that requests must wait to be serviced.

14. As per claims 21 and 25, Talbot discloses that the request buffer 703 has a number of elements that can each store one request that is stored as a "type" 707, an "ID" 709, a "bank" 711, and a "valid" bit 713 that tells whether that element currently contains a request [c. 8 Il. 59-c. 9 Il. 5].

Allowable Subject Matter

- 15. Claims 4, 11, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 16. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the cited prior art does not disclose or render obvious the combination of elements recited in the

Art Unit: 2676

claims, as a whole. Specifically, the cited prior art fails to disclose or render obvious the following limitations: a multipurpose buffer mechanism comprising an age counter section, in turn comprising a plurality of shift registers as per claims 4, 11, 20.

Response to Arguments

17. Applicant's arguments, see pg. 6 filed 2/9/04, with respect to the Kazachinsky reference have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-31 over Kazachinsky has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Cho, Talbot, and Konigsburg.

Conclusion

18. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 6374332

U.S. Patent No. 6327645

U.S. Patent No. 6122715

U.S. Patent No. 5898854

U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 20030056066

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Ulka Chauhan** whose telephone number is **(703)** 305-9651. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri. from 9:00 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Matthew Bella**, can be reached at **(703)** 308-6829.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Art Unit: 2676

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

20. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Ulka J. Chauhan Primary Examiner Art Unit 2676

UChan

ujc May 2, 2004